

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States dated and Tradeplark Office Address COMMISSIONUR FOR DATENTS P.O. Blvx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.plxptu.vv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,807	02/22/2002	David Bau III	109870-130103	2054	
	7590 12/29/2006 TILLIAMSON & WYATT	P C	EXAMINER		
PACWEST CE	NTER, SUITE 1900	, 1	HOANG, PHUONG N		
1211 SW FIFTI PORTLAND, O		•	· ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2194		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
	NTHS	12/29/2006	PAF		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/082,807	BAU ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Phuong N. Hoang	2194	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Preply	appears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the material part	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Orallow</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters	·	s
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1 - 84 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 - 84 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to te	Irawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b) □ objected to by		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s)	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal.  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application	

- 1. Claims 1 84 are pending for examination.
- 2. This office action is in response to the RCE amendment filed 9/1/06.
- 3. References, not found in this office action, can be found in previous office action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 12 19, 54 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. The following language is not clearly understood:
    - i. As to claim 12, it is not clearly what "previously generated proxy" means. Applicants argued that the generated proxy in the last office action is not previously generated. Examiner could not find anywhere in the specification provides the specific meanings of "previously generated proxy". Applicant disclosed the proxy is generated by compiler to make

Art Unit: 2194

call, and it is just as what examiner cited. For examination purpose, examiner just treats it as a "generated proxy" by a compiler, as defined in the specification.

- ii. As to claim 13 19, they are dependent claims of claim 12. They are rejected for the same reason above.
- iii. As to claim 54, it is the manufacture claim of claim 12. It is rejection for the same rational as claim 12.
- iv. As to claim 55 61, they are dependent claims of claim 54. They are rejected for the same reason above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1- 3, 10 11, 43 45, 52 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Shachar, US patent no. 6,209,018 in view of

Art Unit: 2194

Arulanthu et al, "Applying C++, Paterns, and Components to Develop an IDL Compiler for CORBA AMI Callbacks", pages 1 - 13.

8. **As to claim 1**, Ben-Shachar teaches a method of specifying an asynchronous (asynchronous web service, col. 9 lines 25 - 45 and col. 16 lines 1 - 5) service within a procedural programming environment, the method comprising the steps of:

providing a source code representation of at least a portion of a service logic (logic, col. 30 lines 65 - 67), a callback method (callbacks, col. 17 lines 35 - 47, col. 25 lines 35 - 45, col. 26 lines 2 - 20), and

a compiler (IDL compiler generate client-side stubs and server-side stubs, col. 2 lines 35 - 65) to generate a client proxy object for interacting asynchronously (asynchronously) with the client using said callback method (callback to client).

Ben-Shachar does not explicitly teach at least one method (method declarations, page 8 col. 1 last paragraph) declared to be identifying a member variable declared to implement said callback method, assign the client proxy object to said member variable.

Arulanthu teaches the callback cause the compiler to generate client proxy to interact with client (IDL compiler supports asynchronous method invocation callback; therefore, compiler generates proxy when client makes a asynchronous/callback method, introduction), at least one method (method declarations, page 8 col. 1 last paragraph) declared to be identifying a member variable declared to implement said callback method, and to assign the client proxy object to said member variable (object reference passed as parameter, page 1 col. 2).

Art Unit: 2194

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Ben-Shachar and Aralanthu's system because Aralanthu's method declarations and member variables would let the callback method able to be called and accessed as the basic programming techniques.

- 9. **As to claim 2**, Ben-Shachar teaches wherein said callback method is declared inside a callback interface definition (callback interface, col. 17 lines 42 47, col. 25 lines 40 45).
- 10. **As to claim 3**, Aralanthu teaches specifying one or more declarative annotations (figure 2) associated with said callback method to cause a compiler to generate one or more persistent components to maintain conversational state (maintain state, 3.2.1) related to the identified member variable.
- 11. **As to claim 10**, this is the software claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above. Further, Ben-Shachar teaches a callback interface associated with client (callback interface to client, col. 4 lines 12 20, col. 8 lines 14 23, and col. 11 lines 20 52).
- 12. **As to claim 11**, see rejection for claim 2 above.
- 13. As to claim 43, this the manufacture claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.

14. **As to claim 44 and 45**, see rejection for claims 2 and 3 above.

- 15. **As to claim 52**, this the manufacture claim of claim 10. See rejection for claim 10 above.
- 16. **As to claim 53**, see rejection for claim 11 above.
- 17. Claims 20 23, 25 29, 62 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arulanthu et al, "Applying C++, Paterns, and Components to Develop an IDL Compiler for CORBA AMI Callbacks", pages 1 13 in view of Ben-Shachar, US patent no. 6,209,018.
- 18. **As to claim 20**, Arulanthu teaches a method for specifying logic within a procedural programming environment for receiving a callback from an asynchronous web service (asynchronous web service, col. 9 lines 25 45 and col. 16 lines 1 5), the method comprising the steps of:

providing a method (callback method with object reference to a reply handler as a parameter, page 1 col. 2 on section callback model) associated with said member variable, the method having signature (signature, section 2, 3.2.2, 3.2.4) containing

logic for receiving said callback (operation(callback, args), figure 2) from said asynchronous web

Arulanthu does not teach external web service.

Ben-Shachar external web service (multiple servers provide multiple services, therefore, server 88 can access services of server 54, col. 2 lines 65 - 67, col. 6 lines 17 - 40, col. 9 lines 10 - 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Arulanthu and Ben-Shachar's system because Ben-Sharchar's external web service would provide the service to any machine on any environment within the distributed system via corba service framework.

- 19. **As to claim 21**, Ben-Sharchar teaches the step of wherein the method is manually provided by a developer (it is the logic of the program).
- 20. **As to claim 22**, Arulanthu teaches the step of wherein the method signature is provided by an integrated development environment based on a specified service description file containing a declaration for said callback (section 2, 3.2.2, 3.2.4).
- 21. **As to claim 23**, Arulanthu teaches wherein said method is associated with said member variable using a method naming convention that utilizes the name of said member variable and the name of said callback (figure 2).
- 22. **As to claims 25 26**, Arulanthu teaches the step of wherein the one or more declarative annotations are specified within the source code (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2194

23. **As to claim 27**, see rejection for claim 20 above.

- 24. **As to claim 28**, Arulanthu teaches the step of wherein the one or more declarative annotations are automatically (code generator, 3.1.2) specified by an integrated development environment based upon input provided by a developer.
- **25. As to claim 29,** Ben-Sharhar teaches the step of wherein asynchronous responses from the external web service are passed to said method associated with said member variable (col. 2 lines 65 67, col. 6 lines 17 40, col. 9 lines 10 45).
- 26. **As to claim 62**, this the manufacture claim of claim 20. See rejection for claim 20 above.
- 27. As to claims 63 65, see rejection for claims 21 23 above.
- 28. As to claim 66 71, see rejection for claims 25 29 above.
- 29. Claims 12 17, 38 42, 54 59, 80 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schofield, US patent no. 6,253,252 in view of Grant, US Pub no. 20020099738.
- 30. **As to claim 12**, Schofield teaches an asynchronous web service, a method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2194

receiving a message from a client (receiving the request transmitted from client, figure 8 and associated text and col. 10 lines 10 - 20) requesting that a web service method be invoked;

a callback address (the address for the response, col. 8 lines 23 - 35, col. 10 lines 1 - 10) indicating a location where the client is listening for callbacks from the web service;

storing the callback address in association with a previously generated proxy object (store the routine address in the proxy, col. 9 lines 35 - 40);

invoking the requested web service method (invokes, col. 3 lines 1 - 18).

Schofield does not explicitly teach the steps of parsing the message, storing the callback address in association with a previously generated proxy object.

Grant teaches the step of parsing the message (parse the message, col. 3 [0037] and [0041].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Schofield and Grant's system because Grant's parsing the input message is well known to be necessary for the server to understand the content of the message.

31. **As to claim 13**, Schofield teaches the steps comprising of:

identifying an instance identifier (identifier, col. 4 lines 1 - 10, and col. 13 lines 11 - 40) provided by the client indicating a particular instance of the client that is listening for callbacks from the web service; and

storing (store the output parameters which comprises callback address, col. 13 lines 12 - 60 and col. 9 lines 55 - col. 10 lines 20) the instance identifier in association with the callback address and the proxy object.

- 32. **As to claims 14 and 15**, Schofield teaches the step comprising of wherein at least one of the callback address and the instance identifier is encapsulated in one or more request messages (each request has an identifier, col. col. 4 lines 1 10, and col. 13 lines 11 40).
- 33. **As to claim 16,** Schofield modified by Grant teaches the step of wherein at least one of the callback address and the instance identifier is encapsulated in one or more SOAP message headers (Grant; SOAP message headers, [0037] and [0276]).
- 34. **As to claim 17**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein the instance identifier is a GUID (identifier is unique, col. 4 lines 1 10, and col. 13 lines 11 40).
- 35. **As to claim 38**, Schofield teaches a web service, a method comprising the steps of:

Receiving by the server a message (server is inherent in client-server environment for receiving the message transmitted from client-side, col. 10 lines 10 -

Art Unit: 2194

15) identifying a callback address (the object address call's input parameters, col. 9 lines 55 - col. 10 lines 35) including a callback method (object's method to be called), and a proxy object identifier (proxy handle);

determining on one or more computing device (machines, col. 5 lines 20 - 65) a method to be invoked based at least in part upon the proxy object identifier (determined by proxy handle) and the callback method (used input parameters and carried out the request), and

routing the calling the method to be invoked (inherent when call the appropriate method).

Schofield does not explicitly teach extract the message.

Grant teaches the step of extracting the message (extracting in parsing process, col. 3 [0037] and [0041].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Schofield and Grant's system because Grant's parsing the input message is well known to be necessary for the server to understand the content of the message from the sender format.

36. **As to claim 39**, Grant teaches the step of wherein the callback address comprises a URL (URL, 0267) indicating a location where the web service listens for callbacks from the external web service.

Art Unit: 2194

It would have been obvious to one o of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the web address would comprise the URL.

- 37. **As to claim 40**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein the callback address includes proxy object identifier (col. 9 lines 35 40).
- 38. **As to claim 41**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein the message further identifies a callback instance identifier (call identifier, col. 8 lines 35 45 and col. 13 lines 25 35).
- 39. **As to claim 42,** Schofield teaches the step of wherein routing further comprises identifying a callback instance based at least in part upon the callback instance identifier (specified object to be called, col. 9 lines 55 col. 10 lines 10); and routing the request to a method associated with the identified callback instance.
- 40. **As to claim 54**, this is the article claim of claim 12. See rejection for claim 12 above.
- 41. **As to claims 55 59**, see rejection for claims 13 17 above.
- 42. **As to claim 80**, this is the article claim of claim 38. See rejection for claim 38 above.
- 43. **As to claims 81 84**, see rejection for claims 38 42 above.

44. Claims 30 - 32, 35 - 36, 72 - 74, 77 - 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schofield, US patent no. 6,253,252 in view of Ben-Shachar, US patent no. 6,209,018.

45. **As to claim 30**, Schofield teaches a web service, a method comprising the steps of:

generating by the device a request (call from client through the internet, col. 10 lines 50 - 65 and col. 4 lines 65 - 67) to a web service using a proxy object (compiler produced client stub object, col. 7 lines 25 - 40), previously generated by a compiler based upon a service description file (source file 101, col. 7 lines 10 - 60), wherein the request includes a callback address (containing address, col. 10 - lines 1 - 10 and col. 12) to identify a location to which the external web service should return a response,

transmitting on one or more computing devices, (machines, col. 5 lines 20 - 65) the request as a request message to the external web service using one or more transmission protocols (network protocol, col. 6 lines 14 - 17) and

receiving on one or more computing devices, (machines, col. 5 lines 20 - 65) an asynchronous response from the external web service (a response is returned to client, col. 11 lines 20 - 30 and col. 8 lines 18 - 22).

Schofield does not explicitly teach that the description file associated with the external web service, and the request is from a server. However, Schofield teaches the description file is compiled and linked to client and server (col. 7 lines 10 - 60).

Ben-Shachar server access external web service (multiple servers provide multiple services; therefore, server 88 can access services of server 54, col. 2 lines 65 - 67, col. 6 lines 17 - 40, col. 9 lines 10 - 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Schofield and Ben-Shachar's system because Ben-Sharchar's external web service would provide the service to any machine on any environment within the distributed system via corba service framework.

- 46. **As to claim 31**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein the callback address includes proxy object identifier (store address in the proxy, col. 9 lines 35 40).
- 47. **As to claim 32**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein the callback address is included within one or more headers of the request message (header file 119, col. 7. lines 40 50).
- 48. **As to claim 35**, Schofield teaches the step of a callback instance identifier representing a specific instance of the requesting web service to which asynchronous responses are to be routed (asynchronous response, col. 8 lines 45 65).
- 49. **As to claim 36**, Schofield teaches the step of wherein and the callback instance identifier is included within one or more headers of the request message (header file 119, col. 7. lines 40 50).
- 50. **As to claim 72**, this is the manufacture claim of claim 30. See rejection for claim 30 above.

Art Unit: 2194

51. As to claims 73 - 74, see rejection for claims 31 - 32 above.

- 52. **As to claims 77 78**, see rejection for claims 35 36 above.
- 53. Claims 33, 34, 37, 75, 76, 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schofield, US patent no. 6,253,252 in view of Ben-Shachar, US patent no. 6,209,018, and further in view of Grant, US Pub no. 20020099738
- 54. **As to claim 33**, Schofield and Ben-Shachar does not SOAP message.

Grant teaches the step of wherein the request message is a SOAP based message (soap message, fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Schofield, Ben-Shachar, and Grant's system because Grant's SOAP message would provide a well-known protocol to transfer the request through the internet.

- 55. **As to claim 34,** Grant teaches wherein the callback address comprises a URL (url, [0267]) identifying a location where the web service is listening for a response from the external web service.
- 56. **As to claim 37**, see rejection for claim 33 above.
- 57. **As to claims 75, 76, and 79,** see rejection for claims 33, 34, and 37 above.

## Allowable Subject Matter

58. Claims 4 - 9, 18 - 19, 46 - 51, 60 - 61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong N. Hoang whose telephone number is (571)272-3763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 2194

Page 17

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ph November 25, 2006

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAM.
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100